I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted today via the Office electronic filing

system in accordance with 37 CFR §1.6 (a)(4).

Date: Sept 9/2009

Signature:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

: 10/697,309

Confirmation No.

9870

Applicant Filed

: Abdelgader Legnain : October 31, 2003

TC/A.U.

: 2436

Examiner

: Oscar Louie

Docket No.

: 77682-224

Customer No.

: 07380

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Letter of September 2, 2009, a summary of the series of Examiner Interviews of August 19-24, 2009 is hereby provided.

Interview Summary begins on page 2 of this paper.

Appl. No. 10/697,309 Reply to Office Letter of September 2, 2009

**Interview Summary** 

We thank the Examiner for the opportunity on August 19-24, 2009 to discuss the claimed

invention with the Examiner in light of the cited references, and to clarify with the Examiner

what are believed to be examples of novel and inventive aspects of the claimed invention that

distinguish over the cited references.

The participants in the interview included Oscar Louie (Examiner) and Jeffrey Slater

(Technical Consultant).

Following the last interview conducted with the Examiner on August 24, 2009.

Applicant provided the Examiner with a proposed set of claim amendments that added the

features "determining the first information from the codeword of the first set of codewords

associated with the overall maximum second output symbol and determining the second

information from the codeword of the second set of codewords associated with the overall

maximum second output symbol" and "the first information is determined from the codeword of

the first set of codewords associated with the overall maximum second output symbol and the

second information is determined from the codeword of the second set of codewords associated

with the overall maximum second output symbol" to independent claims 1 and 16, respectively.

Applicant submitted that the foregoing features were novel and non-obvious over the

prior art of record and the Examiner agreed.

Respectfully submitted,

LEGNAIN, ABDELGADER ET AL.

Date: September 9, 2009

RAB:JFS:gcs:mcg

Allan Brett

Reg. No. 40,476

Tel.: (613) 232-2486 ext. 323

2